

# Impact of measurement uncertainty on building modeling and retrofitting decisions - Appendix

#### 1 Appendix A. Heating system efficiency and sizing

For heat pumps, we consider seasonal performance factors for space heating ( $SPF_h$ ) and DHW ( $SPF_{DHW}$ ).

### 1.1 Appendix A.1. Air-to-water heat pumps

The bin-method was used from the Swiss standard SIA 384/3 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2013) for the determination of the seasonal performance factors of heat pumps, as seen in Eq. 1.

$$COP(t) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot T_{source}(t) + a_2 \cdot T_{supply}(t) + a_3$$

$$\cdot T_{source}(t) \cdot T_{supply}(t) + a_4 \cdot T_{source}(t)^2 + a_5$$

$$\cdot T_{supply}(t)^2$$
Eq. 1

For air-to-water heat pumps, the external temperature  $T_e(t)$  is used as  $T_{source}(t)$  in Eq. 1.

The hourly electricity demand for space heating is calculated by dividing the hourly space heating load  $\Phi_H(t)$  by the coefficient of performance at the same time COP(t) and multiplying by one hour for the conversion from power to energy as seen in Eq. 2.

$$E_h(t) = \frac{\Phi_h(t) \cdot 1h}{COP(t)}$$
 Eq. 2

Then the seasonal coefficient of performance follows from Eq. 3.

$$SCOP_{h} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{8760} \Phi_{h}(t) \cdot 1h}{\sum_{t=1}^{8760} E_{h}(t)}$$
 Eq. 3

In Eq. 3,  $\Phi_H(t)$  is the hourly space heating load, and  $E_h(t)$  is the hourly electricity demand for space heating.

The electricity demand for the circulation pump  $E_{add,h}$  is calculated by multiplying the time of operation of the pump with the power of the circulation pump as seen in Eq. 4.

$$E_{add,h} = t_{pump,h} \cdot P_{pump}$$
 Eq. 4

The seasonal performance factor for space heating  $SPF_h$  is then calculated with Eq. 5.

$$SPF_h = \frac{\eta_{hp}}{\frac{1}{SCOP_h} + \frac{E_{add,h}}{Q_h}}$$
 Eq. 5

In Eq. 5,  $\eta_{hp}$  is the efficiency of the heat pump considering losses due to on-off cycling and  $Q_h$  is the yearly space heating demand of the building.

Due to the assumption of constant DHW supply temperature ( $T_{DWH}$ ) and demand, the seasonal coefficient of performance for DHW can be calculated using Eq. 6.

$$SCOP_{DHW}(t) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot T_{source,avg}(t) + a_2 \cdot T_{DHW}(t) + a_3$$

$$\cdot T_{source,avg}(t) \cdot T_{DHW}(t) + a_4 \cdot T_{source,avg}(t)^2$$

$$+ a_5 \cdot T_{DHW}(t)^2$$
Eq. 6

In Eq. 6,  $T_{source,avg}$  is the yearly average outdoor air temperature that serves for air-to-water heat pumps. The calculation of  $T_{source,avg}$  for geothermal heat pumps is available in Appendix A.

Auxiliary energy for DHW generation includes the energy for the circulation pump  $P_{pump}$ , and for the weekly heating of the DHW storage to 60°C for thermal disinfection as seen in Eq. 7.

$$E_{add,DHW} = t_{pump,DHW} \cdot P_{pump} + 52 \cdot V_{DHW,storage}$$

$$\cdot (60^{\circ}C - T_{DHW}) \cdot c_{n,water} \cdot \rho_{water}$$
Eq. 7

In Eq. 7, V<sub>DHW</sub> storage is the volume of the DHW storage tank.

The seasonal performance factor for DHW is calculated in Eq. 8.

$$SPF_{DHW} = \frac{\eta_{hp}}{\frac{1}{SCOP_{DHW}} + \frac{E_{add,DHW}}{Q_{dhw}}}$$
 Eq. 8

In Eq. 8,  $\eta_{hp}$  considers losses of the buffer storage. The final energy for space heating and domestic hot water ( $FED_{h\&DHW}$ ) is calculated as in Eq. 9.

$$FED_{h\&DHW} = \frac{Q_h}{SPF_h} + \frac{\frac{Q_{DHW}}{\eta_{DHW}}}{SPF_{DHW}}$$
 Eq. 9

#### 1.2 Appendix A.2. Ground source heat pump

For geothermal heat pumps, the  $T_{source,avg}$  in Eq. 10 is the source temperature of the geothermal probe  $T_{source}$ . It is assumed to be constant and calculated according to (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2013; Huber and Stalder, 2020):

$$T_{source} = 10^{\circ}C - \left(0.005 \frac{^{\circ}Cm}{W} + \frac{t_{op}}{100h} \cdot 0.006 \frac{^{\circ}Cm}{W}\right) \cdot \Phi_{h,max} \cdot \frac{^{\frac{1000W}{kW}}}{L_{probe}} \cdot \frac{COP_{B0/W35} - 1}{COP_{B0/W35}}$$
Eq. 10

where  $COP_{BO/W35}$  is the COP at  $T_{source} = 0$ ° C and  $T_{supply} = 35$ ° C.  $t_{op}$  [h] is the total operating time of the heat and calculated as

$$t_{op} = \frac{Q_h + Q_{DHW}}{\Phi_{h,max}}$$
 Eq. 11

## 2 Appendix B. Design parameters

Table 2.1: List of design parameters chosen for the building energy assessment, cost assessment, and environmental assessment

Variable	Value	Source
Ti,design	20 °C	SIA 380/1 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2016)
$T_{e,design}$	-9 °C	SIA 2028 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2010)
$T_{limit}$	15 °C	Assumption based on oil boiler setting in case study building
ΔΤ	8 °C	Assumption
$V_{DHW,storage}$	0.3 m3/d	DHW storage in case study building
$P_{pump}$	50 W	Manual of pump in case study building
t <sub>pump,h</sub>	2300 h	Handbook (Swiss Federal Office of Energy, 2019)
t <sub>pump,DHW</sub>	400 h	Handbook (Swiss Federal Office of Energy, 2019)
$\eta_{h,geo}$	SPF <sub>h</sub> , SPF <sub>DHW</sub>	Appendix A
$\eta_{h,air}$	SPF <sub>h</sub> , SPF <sub>DHW</sub>	Appendix A
$\eta$ h,district	0.97	SIA 380/1 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2016)
η <sub>h, wood</sub>	0.75	SIA 380/1 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2016)
$\eta_{hp}$	0.96	SIA 380/1 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2016)
$\eta_{\text{DHW}}$	0.8	SIA 380/1 (Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), 2016)
$P_{Wood}$	0.08 CHF/kWh	IEA EBC Annex 56 (Ott et al., 2017)
P <sub>District heat</sub>	0.09 CHF/kWh	IEA EBC Annex 56 (Ott et al., 2017)
P <sub>Electricity</sub>	0.21 CHF/kWh	IEA EBC Annex 56 (Ott et al., 2017)
$CF_{GHG,Wood}$	0.027 kg-CO2/kWh	KBOB 2016 (Bächtold et al., 2016)
CF <sub>GHG</sub> ,District	0.108 kg-CO2/kWh	KBOB 2016 (Bächtold et al., 2016)
CF <sub>GHG</sub> ,Electricity	0.102 kg-CO2/kWh	KBOB 2016 (Bächtold et al., 2016)
$IC_{Wood}$	97 CHF/kW	Quotation (Sigrist et al., 2019)
<b>IC</b> District	128 CHF/kW	Quotation (Sigrist et al., 2019)
<b>IC</b> air	648 CHF/kW	Quotation (Sigrist et al., 2019)
$IC_{geo}$	2122 CHF/kW	Quotation (Sigrist et al., 2019)
$r_{ec}$	0%	Assumption
r	0%	Assumption
τ	30 years	Assumption

#### 3 References

- Almeida, M., and Ferreira, M. (2018). Ten questions concerning cost-effective energy and carbon emissions optimization in building renovation. *Building and Environment* 143, 15–23. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.06.036.
- Alzetto, F., Pandraud, G., Fitton, R., Heusler, I., and Sinnesbichler, H. (2018). QUB: A fast dynamic method for in-situ measurement of the whole building heat loss. *Energy and Buildings* 174, 124–133. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.06.002.
- Bächtold, H., Egli, N., Frei, K., Friedli, R., Frischknecht, R., and Gugerli, H. (2016). Empfehlung nachhaltiges Bauen Ökobilanzen im Baubereich. *KBOB*; *eco-bau*; *IPB*, *Eds. KBOB*: *Switzerland*.
- Bau- und Wohnungswesen 2018 (2020). Neuchâtel: Bundesamt für Statistik (BFS) Available at: https://www.bfs.admin.ch/hub/api/dam/assets/12507412/bibtex.
- Bauwens, G., and Roels, S. (2014). Co-heating test: A state-of-the-art. *Energy and Buildings* 82, 163–172. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.04.039.
- Cozza, S., Chambers, J., Deb, C., Scartezzini, J.-L., Schlüter, A., and Patel, M. K. (2020). Do energy performance certificates allow reliable predictions of actual energy consumption and savings? Learning from the Swiss national database. *Energy and Buildings* 224, 110235. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110235.
- Deb, C., Frei, M., Hofer, J., and Schlueter, A. (2019). Automated load disaggregation for residences with electrical resistance heating. *Energy and Buildings* 182, 61–74. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.10.011.
- Dimitriou, V. (2016). Lumped parameter thermal modelling for UK domestic buildings based on measured operational data. Available at: https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/dspace-jspui/handle/2134/23239 [Accessed March 11, 2017].
- EU Commission (2012). Commission delegated regulation (EU) no 244/2012 of 16 january 2012 supplementing directive 2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Energy Performance of buildings. *Official Journal of the European Union* 55, 18–36.
- Frei, M., Deb, C., Nagy, Z., Hischier, I., and Schlueter, A. (2021). Building Energy Performance Assessment Using an Easily Deployable Sensor Kit: Process, Risks, and Lessons Learned. *Front. Built Environ.* 6. doi:10.3389/fbuil.2020.609877.
- Frei, M., Deb, C., Stadler, R., Nagy, Z., and Schlueter, A. (2020). Wireless sensor network for estimating building performance. *Automation in Construction* 111, 103043. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2019.103043.
- Galimshina, A., Moustapha, M., Hollberg, A., Padey, P., Lasvaux, S., Sudret, B., et al. (2020). Statistical method to identify robust building renovation choices for environmental and economic performance. *Building and Environment* 183, 107143. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.107143.

- Global Alliance for Buildings and Construction, International Energy Agency and the United Nations Environment Programme (2019). 2019 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction:

  Towards a zero-emission, efficient and resilient buildings and construction sector. Available at:

  https://www.batera.ica.org/download/direct/202026iloNema-2010. Global Status Banort for Banort for
  - https://webstore.iea.org/download/direct/2930?fileName=2019\_Global\_Status\_Report\_for\_B uildings\_and\_Construction.pdf.
- Hall, M. (2020). Normierung des GEAK®. Konferenz Kantonaler Energiedirektoren Available at: https://www.endk.ch/de/ablage/grundhaltung-derendk/20200402\_Normierung\_GEAK\_EnDK\_D.pdf.
- Heo, Y., Augenbroe, G., Graziano, D., Muehleisen, R. T., and Guzowski, L. (2015). Scalable methodology for large scale building energy improvement: Relevance of calibration in model-based retrofit analysis. *Building and Environment* 87, 342–350. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.12.016.
- Heo, Y., Choudhary, R., and Augenbroe, G. A. (2012). Calibration of building energy models for retrofit analysis under uncertainty. *Energy and Buildings* 47, 550–560. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2011.12.029.
- Huber, A., and Stalder, M. (2020). Rechenmethode WPesti Handbuch.
- International Organization for Standardization (2006). ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management Life cycle assessment Principles and framework. Available at: https://www.iso.org/cms/render/live/en/sites/isoorg/contents/data/standard/03/74/37456.html [Accessed November 5, 2020].
- International Organization for Standardization (2008). ISO 13790:2008 Energy performance of buildings Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling. International Organization for Standardization Available at: http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue\_detail.htm%3Fcsnumber=41974 [Accessed July 25, 2016].
- Kemmler, A., and Spillmann, T. (2020). Analyse des schweizerischen Energieverbrauchs 2000–2019 nach Verwendungszwecken. Bern, Switzerland: Swiss Federal Office of Energy Available at: https://www.bfe.admin.ch/bfe/de/home/versorgung/statistik-und-geodaten/energiestatistiken/energieverbrauch-nach-verwendungszweck.exturl.html/aHR0cHM6Ly9wdWJkYi5iZmUuYWRtaW4uY2gvZGUvcH VibGljYX/Rpb24vZG93bmxvYWQvMTAyNjA=.html.
- Khoury, J., Hollmuller, P., and Lachal, B. M. (2016). Energy performance gap in building retrofit: characterization and effect on the energy saving potential. in.
- Lee, S. H., Hong, T., Piette, M. A., and Taylor-Lange, S. C. (2015). Energy retrofit analysis toolkits for commercial buildings: A review. *Energy* 89, 1087–1100.
- Ma, Z., Cooper, P., Daly, D., and Ledo, L. (2012). Existing building retrofits: Methodology and state-of-the-art. *Energy and Buildings* 55, 889–902. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.08.018.
- Ott, W., Bolliger, R., Ritter, V., Citherlet, S., Lasvaux, S., Favre, D., et al. (2017). *Methodology for cost-effective energy and carbon emissions optimization in building renovation (Annex 56)*.

- Universidade do Minho Available at: http://repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt/ [Accessed May 25, 2021].
- Papafragkou, A., Ghosh, S., James, P. A. B., Rogers, A., and Bahaj, A. S. (2014). A simple, scalable and low-cost method to generate thermal diagnostics of a domestic building. *Applied Energy* 134, 519–530. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.08.045.
- Programme, U. N. E., and Agency, I. E. (2018). 2018 Global Status Report Towards a zero-emission, efficient and resilient buildings and construction sector. Available at: https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/27140/Global\_Status\_2018.pdf.
- Reid, E. (1984). Understanding Buildings: A Multidisciplinary Approach. MIT Press.
- Rysanek, A. M., and Choudhary, R. (2013). Optimum building energy retrofits under technical and economic uncertainty. *Energy and Buildings* 57, 324–337. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.10.027.
- Sigrist, D., Deb, C., Frei, M., and Schlueter, A. (2019). Cost-optimal retrofit analysis for residential buildings. in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 012030. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1343/1/012030.
- Sigrist, D., Deb, C., and Schlueter, A. (2020). A calibrated RC model for dataxdriven retrofit analysis of a residential building. in *eSIM* 2020-2021 Vancouver.
- Sunikka-Blank, M., and Galvin, R. (2012). Introducing the prebound effect: the gap between performance and actual energy consumption. *Building Research & Information* 40, 260–273.
- Swiss Federal Office of Energy (2019). Leistungsgarantie Haustechnik.
- Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA) (2010). SIA 2028:2010 Klimadaten für Bauphysik, Energie- und Gebäudetechnik. SIA.
- Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA) (2013). SIA 384/3:2013 Heizungsanlagen in Gebäuden Energiebedarf. SIA.
- Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA) (2015). SIA 2024:2015 Raumnutzungsdaten für die Energie- und Gebäudetechnik. SIA.
- Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (SIA), S. S. of E. {and} (2016). SIA 380/1:2016 Heizwärmebedarf. SIA.
- Tadeu, S., Rodrigues, C., Tadeu, A., Freire, F., and Simões, N. (2015). Energy retrofit of historic buildings: Environmental assessment of cost-optimal solutions. *Journal of Building Engineering* 4, 167–176. doi:10.1016/j.jobe.2015.09.009.
- Tian, W., Heo, Y., de Wilde, P., Li, Z., Yan, D., Park, C. S., et al. (2018). A review of uncertainty analysis in building energy assessment. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 93, 285–301.