**Supplement files**

**Equations**

***Equation 1***

Rad-score=(4.9129e-06)\*Mean+(0.00021328)\*Variance+(2.8838e-11)\*Perc\_01\_+(5.1856e-08)\*Perc\_90\_+(1.0491e-07)\*Perc\_99\_+(-0.0010872)\*Horzl\_RLNonUni+(2.7338e-05)\*Horzl\_LngREmph+(0.00029282)\*Vertl\_RLNonUni+(0.00012787)\*Vertl\_GLevNonU+(-2.3385e-05)\*Vertl\_LngREmph+(-1.1768e-05)\*x45dgr\_RLNonUni+(9.347e-06)\*x45dgr\_LngREmph+(5.1203e-05)\*x135dr\_GLevNonU+(-2.4036e-05)\*x135dr\_LngREmph+(-6.1467e-05)\*GrKurtosis+(-1.3319e-05)\*WavEnLL\_s\_1+(-4.5884e-07)\*WavEnLL\_s\_2+(-1.3424e-06)\*WavEnLL\_s\_3+(-3.319e-07)\*WavEnLL\_s\_4

***Equation 2***

Score4= (0.026875)\*L\_R+(0.026351)\*S\_S+(0.013963)\*CT\_pre\_Hu+(-0.0014128)\*Enhancement\_Degree\_Hu

**Supplementary Table 1** Fuhrman and WHO/ISUP grading system

|  |
| --- |
| Fuhrman grading system |
|  | Nucleoli | Nuclear shape | Nuclear size |
| Grade 1 | absent or Inconspicuous  | Small, round, uniform, Irregular  | ~10μM |
| Grade 2 | Visible at x 400 magnification  | Irregular in outline at x 400 magnification, variable in size  | ~15μM  |
| Grade 3 | Prominent/large even at x 100 magnification  | Obvious irregular outline, large, hyperchromasia, marked variability in size and shape  | ~20μM  |
| Grade 4 | Cells large, pleomorphic with bizarre multilobed giant cells and heavy chromatic clumps, extreme irregular outlines  |

**Supplementary Table 2** WHO/ISUP grading system

|  |
| --- |
| WHO/ISUP grading system |
| Grade 1 | Nucleoli are absent or inconspicuous and basophilic at x 400 magnification  |
| Grade 2 | Nucleoli are conspicuous and eosinophilic at x 400 magnification and visible but not prominent at x 100 magnification  |
| Grade 3 | Nucleoli are conspicuous and eosinophilic at x 100 magnification  |
| Grade 4 | There is extreme nuclear pleomorphism and/or sarcomatoid and/or rhabdoid differentiation and/or multinucleate tumor giant cells  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Supplementary Table 3** Distribution of CT scans obtained in the 3 different scanners |
|  | CT room1 | CT room2 | CT room3 | P value |
| ***ISUP grade (n, %)*** |  |  |  | 0.197 |
|  Low grade | 110 (53.4) | 66 (32.0) | 30 (14.6) |  |
|  High grade  | 30 (51.7) | 24 (41.4) | 4 (6.9) |  |
| ***Cohort (n, %)*** |  |  |  | 0.983 |
|  Training  | 105 (52.2) | 67 (34.0) | 25 (12.7) |  |
|  Validation | 140 (53.0) | 90 (34.1) | 34 (12.9) |  |

**Supplementary figure 1** The data mining algorithm, including data reduction process used in this study.
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