**Supplemental Figure 3.** Relation between BBB (quantitative assessment) and HT in MR studies. BBB, blood–brain barrier; HT, hemorrhagic transformation; MR, magnetic resonance.

			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE Weigl	nt IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFGHI
Leigh R	0.5274 0.2	269 41.3	% 1.69 [1.09, 2.64]	-	
Liu HS	2.8082 1.5	277 26.2	% 16.58 [0.83, 331.11]	+	→ ● ● ● ● ●
Nael K	3.9398 1.0	646 32.5	% 51.41 [6.38, 414.21]		→ ●4444●444
Total (95% CI)		100.0	% 9.33 [0.76, 114.39]		-
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 3.91$ ; $Chi^2 = 11.74$ , $df = 2$ ( $P = 0.003$ ); $I^2 = 83\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.75$ ( $P = 0.08$ )				0.01 0.1 1 10 10 Favours [No HT] Favours [HT]	00

## Risk of bias legend

- (A) Representativeness
- (B) Selection of non-exposed cohort
- (C) Ascertainment of exposure
- (D) Outcome of interest was not present at the study entry
- (E) Comparability
- (F) Outcome assessment
- (G) Lenght of follow-up
- (H) Adequacy of follow-up
- (I) Overall