**Appendix 1 Search strategies**

EMBASE

#1 'brain hemorrhage'/exp

#2 'cerebral h?emorr\*' OR 'intracerebral h?emorr\*' OR 'brain h?emorr\*' OR 'intraventricular h?emorr\*' OR 'hemorrhagic stroke'/exp OR 'hemorrhagic stroke'OR ich

#3 #1 OR #2

#4 'island sign\*' OR 'noncontrast ct' OR 'nonenhanced ct' OR ncct

#5 'hematoma enlargement' OR 'hematoma growth' OR 'hematoma expansion'/exp OR 'hematoma expansion'

#6 #3 AND #4 AND #5

PubMed

#1 (((((("cerebral hemorrhage"[Mesh]) OR ((((((Intracerebral h?emorr\*) OR Cerebral h?emorr\*) OR Brain h?emorr\*) OR intraventricular h?emorr\*) OR hemorrhagic stroke) OR ICH)))))

#2 (((((Island sign) OR Island signs) OR noncontrast CT) OR nonenhanced CT) OR NCCT))

#3 (((hematoma enlargement) OR hematoma growth) OR hematoma expansion)

#4 #1 AND #2 AND #3

**Supplemental Table 1. Table 1: Characteristics of included studies.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Author | Year | the odds ratio of HE(OR, 95% CI) | the odds ratio of poor outcome(OR, 95% CI)  |
| Du C  | 2019 | adjusted OR: 6.014 (3.054-11.843) | - |
| Huang Y  | 2018 | adjusted OR: 11.15 (5.59-22.22) | - |
| Law Z  | 2019 | adjusted OR: 0.85 (0.57-1.26) | adjusted OR: 2.21 (1.04-4.67) |
| Li Q  | 2019 | adjusted OR: 27.85 (8.75-88.66) | adjusted OR: 3.33 (1.21-9.18) |
| Qin R  | 2018 | unadjusted OR: 12.857 (2.53-65.347) | - |
| Wang Y  | 2019 | unadjusted OR: 16.82 (7.245- 39.039) | - |
| Wang Z | 2019 | adjusted OR: 20.23 (5.13-79.72) | - |
| Xie H  | 2019 | adjusted OR: 9.712 (2.447-38.547) | - |
| Zhang F  | 2019 | adjusted OR: 3.596 (1.432-9.028) | adjusted OR: 7.564 (2.969-19.273) |
| Zheng J  | 2018 | adjusted OR: 4.371 (1.493-12.795) | - |
| Sporns P  | 2018 | - | adjusted OR:4.66 (2.18-9.97) |

**Supplementary Table 2. Quality scores of included studies using Newcastle-Ottawa Scale**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study | Selection | Comparability | outcome |
| Representativeness of the exposed cohort | Selection of the non-exposed cohort | Ascertainment of exposure factors | Demonstration that HE was not present at start of study | Comparability on the basis of the design or analysis | Assessment of outcome | Adequate follow-up duration (>24 hours) | Adequate follow-up rate (>80%) | Overall quality |
| Du C (2019) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Huang Y(2018)  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Law Z (2019) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Li Q (2019) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Qin R (2018) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Wang Y (2019) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Wang Z(2019) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Xie H(2019) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Zhang F(2019)  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Zheng J (2018) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Sporns P(2018)  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |