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Supplemental Figure 1. Influence of filtering AaV through a $\mathbf{0 . 4 5} \boldsymbol{\mu m}$ filter. The different treatments were: unfiltered; 1 mL of culture passed through the filter, or 10 mL of culture passed through the filter. Results are from technical triplicates.


Supplemental Figure 2. Representative FACS Scatterplot of $\mathbf{0 . 4 5} \boldsymbol{\mu m}$ filtered lysate stained with SYBR green.


Supplemental Figure 3. Flow cytometry parameters detected over the course of the first 24 hours of the infection cycle. The average of A) FSC-H, B) SSC-H, and C) FL3-H of each sample's gated Aureococcus population over time. The different nitrate conditions are represented by the following colors and symbols: 1.47 mM - black upward triangles, 0.735 mM blue circles, 0.147 mM - green downward triangles, and 0.0147 mM - red squares. Filled in symbols with solid connecting lines represent uninfected controls while open symbols with dashed lines represent infected cultures. Points are for $n=5$ biological replicates $\pm$ SD.


Supplemental Figure 4. FL3-H values of uninfected Aureococcus anophagefferens cultures acclimated to $1.47 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$, with different concentrations of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-$ added back. The average of FL3-H of each sample's gated Aureococcus population over time. The different nitrate conditions are represented by the following colors and symbols: 1.47 mM - black upward triangles, 0.0147 mM - red squares, and 0 mM - blue circles. Points are for $\mathrm{n}=5$ biological replicates $\pm$ SD.


Supplemental Figure 5. Fold change values of transporters found within the Aureococcus genome over the course of the infection cycle that are $>1.5$ or <-1.5 fold change for infected $v$. uninfected control cultures with a FDR p-value < 0.05 (Moniruzzaman et al., 2018).

Supplemental Table 1. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in end point cell abundance in an uninfected growth curve based on nitrate concentration (Figure 1A). Adjusted p-values were determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD. Red text indicates p-values < 0.05 .

| End Point Abundances Adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; F = 47.31) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{-\mathbf{1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.145 | 0.901 | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.145 | - | 0.054 | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.901 | 0.054 | - | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |

Supplemental Table 2. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in doubling time based on nitrate concentration (Figure 1C). Adjusted p-values were determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD. Red text indicates pvalues < 0.05 .

| Doubling Times Adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; F = 12.1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.941 | 0.053 | 0.036 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.941 | - | 0.021 | 0.085 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.053 | 0.021 | - | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.036 | 0.085 | $<0.001$ | - |

Supplemental Table 3. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in different flow cytometry parameters based on nitrate concentration (Figure 1B). Adjusted p-values were determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD. Red text indicates p-values < 0.05 .

| FSC-H Adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; $\mathrm{F}=92.98$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-1}$ ] | 1.47 mM | 0.735 mM | 0.147 mM | 0.0147 mM |
| 1.47 mM | - | 0.018 | 0.012 | $<0.001$ |
| 0.735 mM | 0.018 | - | 0.992 | <0.001 |
| 0.147 mM | 0.012 | 0.992 | - | <0.001 |
| 0.0147 mM | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |
| SSC-H Adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; $\mathrm{F}=40.16$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-1}\right]$ | 1.47 mM | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.147 mM | 0.0147 mM |
| 1.47 mM | - | 0.034 | 0.034 | $<0.001$ |
| 0.735 mM | 0.034 | - | 0.869 | $<0.001$ |
| 0.147 mM | 0.034 | 0.869 | - | $<0.001$ |
| 0.0147 mM | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |
| FL3-H Adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; F = 133.9) |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-1}\right]$ | 1.47 mM | 0.735 mM | 0.147 mM | 0.0147 mM |
| 1.47 mM | - | 0.145 | 0.079 | $<0.001$ |
| 0.735 mM | 0.145 | - | 0.812 | $<0.001$ |
| 0.147 mM | 0.079 | 0.812 | - | $<0.001$ |
| 0.0147 mM | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |

Supplemental Table 4. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in burst size based on nitrate concentrations as determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD. Red text indicates p-values < 0.05.

| Burst Size adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; F = 18.89) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{3}{ }^{-\mathbf{1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.827 | 0.678 | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.827 | - | 0.240 | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.678 | 0.240 | - | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |

Supplemental Table 5. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in adsorption rate based on nitrate concentrations as determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD.

| Adsorption Rates adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; F = 0.3886) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.813 | 0.900 | 0.776 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.813 | - | 0.997 | $>0.999$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.900 | 0.997 | - | 0.990 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.776 | $>0.999$ | 0.990 | - |

Supplemental Table 6. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in end point abundance based on nitrate concentrations added back as determined by one-way ANOVA with posthoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD. Red text indicates p-values < 0.05 .

| End Point adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; $\mathbf{F}=(\mathbf{4 0 . 4 5})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.004 | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.004 | - | $<0.001$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | - |

Supplemental Table 7. Adjusted p-values comparing differences in burst size based on nitrate concentrations added back as determined by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparisons being adjusted with Tukey's HSD.

| Burst Size adjusted p-values (One-way ANOVA; $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{0 . 6 3 7 2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathbf{N O}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | - | 0.566 | 0.988 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4 7} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.566 | - | 0.653 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | 0.988 | 0.653 | - |

