**Supplementary Table 2.** Results of quality assessment using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale for the included studies

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Study | Selection |  |  |  | Comparability | Exposure |  |  | Scores |
|  |  | Is the case definition adequate? | Represen-tativeness of the Cases | Selection of Controls | Definition of Controls | Comparability of Cases and Controls on the Basis of the Design or Analysis | Ascertainment of exposure | Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls | Non-Response rate | Total scores |
| 1 | Wang 2017 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 2 | Zhang 2017 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 3 | Han 2018 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★☆ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 6 |
| 4 | Li ZL 2018 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ★ | ★ | ★ | 8 |
| 5 | Hou 2014 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 6 | Shi 2015 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 7 | Iranpour 2015 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 8 | Zhang 2016 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ★ | ★ | ★ | 8 |
| 9 | Zou 2016 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ★ | ★ | ★ | 8 |
| 10 | Xie 2018 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 11 | Sun 2018 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |
| 12 | Li ZH 2018 | ★ | ★ | ★ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 8 |
| 13 | Wei 2018 | ★ | ★ | ☆ | ★ | ★★ | ☆ | ★ | ★ | 7 |