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# Supplementary material

## Table 1: NHMRC Levels of evidence

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Level of evidence** | **Study design** |
| I | A systematic review of Level II studies. |
| II | A randomized controlled trial. |
| III-1 | A pseudo-randomized controlled trial (i.e., alternate allocation or some other method). |
| III-2 | A comparative study with concurrent controls (i.e., non-randomized experimental trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series studies with a control group). |
| III-3 | A comparative study without concurrent controls (i.e., historical control study, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series studies without a parallel control group). |
| IV | Case series with either post-test or pre-test/post-test outcomes. |

## Table 2: NHMRC matrix to summarize the evidence base

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Component** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** |
|  | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Poor  |
| Evidence base A | Several level I or II studies with low risk of bias | One or two level II studies with low risk of bias or a systematic review or multiple level III studies with low risk of bias | Level III studies with low risk of bias, or level I or II studies with moderate risk of bias | Level IV studies, or level I to III studies with high risk of bias |
| Consistency B  | All studies consistent | Most studies consistent and inconsistency may be explained | Some inconsistency reflecting genuine uncertainty around clinical questions | Evidence is inconsistent |
| Clinical impact | Very large | Substantial | Moderate | Slight or restricted |
| Generalizability  | Population/s studied in body of evidence are the same as the target population in question | Population/s studied in the body of evidence are similar to the target population in question | Population/s studied in body of evidence differ to target population in question but it is clinically sensible to apply this evidence to target population | Population/s studied in body of evidence differ to target population and hard to judge whether it is sensible to generalize to target population |
| Applicability  | Directly applicable to Australian context | Applicable to Australian context with few caveats | Probably applicable to Australian context with some caveats | Not applicable to Australian context  |

# A Level of evidence determined from the NHMRC evidence hierarchy as in Table 1 (above).

# B If there is only one study, rank this component as ‘not applicable’. National Health and Medical Research Council (2009) NHMRC levels of evidence and grades for recommendations for guideline developers. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.