## Supplementary Material

Table S1. Stand age, density and percent basal area of each species of mature overstory trees presented in selected sites in western
Alberta, Canada.

| Site Stand <br> No. Age |  | Pinus contorta var. latifolia |  | Picea <br> glauca |  | Populus tremuloides |  | Abies <br> balsamea |  | Betula papyrifera |  | Picea mariana |  | Populus balsamifera |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \operatorname{area}(\%) \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \operatorname{area}(\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Basal } \\ \text { area (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Density | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 103 | 65 | 99.10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 113 | 43 | 78.91\% | 7 | 14.47 | 2 | 4.17 | 3 | 2.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 102 | 19 | 86.01\% | 5 | 13.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 101 | 19 | 64.03\% | 11 | 14.84 | 4 | 21.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 75 | 29 | 79.80\% | 9 | 13.47 | 3 | 6.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 80 | 42 | 75.45\% |  |  | 7 | 21.56 |  |  | 3 | 2.99 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 74 | 43 | 68.71\% | 9 | 10.23 | 17 | 18.44 | 3 | 2.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 49 | 43 | 64.24\% | 2 | 1.98 | 25 | 32.58 | 1 | 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 101 | 24 | 79.59\% | 6 | 20.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 59 | 62 | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 64 | 53 | 98.53\% | 1 | 1.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 40 | 15 | 62.46\% | 14 | 36.04 | 1 | 1.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 110 | 15 | 97.94\% | 1 | 2.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 88 | 22 | 61.26\% | 25 | 38.07 | 1 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 57 | 74 | 93.21\% | 4 | 4.99 | 1 | 1.04 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.77 |  |  |
| 16 | 66 | 45 | 67.44\% | 5 | 5.64 | 11 | 21.97 | 1 | 1.18 | 1 | 1.36 |  |  | 1 | 2.41 |
| 17 | 54 | 50 | 93.92\% |  |  | 1 | 6.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 97 | 30 | 55.20\% | 11 | 24.87 | 5 | 18.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 60 | 43 | 93.93\% | 3 | 6.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 125 | 66 | 68.16\% | 30 | 22.18 | 9 | 9.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 110 | 29 | 63.31\% | 2 | 3.25 | 19 | 33.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 22 | 62 | 42 | $98.61 \%$ |  |  | 1 | 1.39 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 130 | 76 | $91.58 \%$ | 7 | 7.64 | 1 | 0.78 |  |  |
| 24 | 64 | 51 | $76.88 \%$ | 5 | 5.59 | 8 | 15.36 |  | 1.50 |
| 25 | 109 | 56 | $86.76 \%$ | 11 | 13.24 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 45 | 14 | $70.03 \%$ | 13 | 29.97 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 123 | 61 | $68.79 \%$ | 31 | 25.33 | 6 | 5.88 |  |  |
| 28 | 100 | 16 | $55.32 \%$ | 6 | 25.32 | 7 | 19.37 |  |  |
| 29 | 100 | 35 | $66.29 \%$ | 33 | 33.71 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | NA | 15 | $84.86 \%$ | 4 | 15.14 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 57 | 45 | $93.34 \%$ | 3 | 6.66 |  |  |  |  |

Stand age was determined by increment cores taken from Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees. Stand age of site No. 30 was missing because increment cores taken in site No. 30 were either damaged or not sampled to tree pith. Density of each species of trees includes only mature overstory trees having diameter at breast height over 15 cm . Basal area (\%) was calculated based on the basal area of each species of trees having diameter at breast height over 15 cm and the total basal area of all trees having diameter at breast height over 15 cm .

Table S2. Percent mortality of Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees caused by Dendroctonus
ponderosae in selected sites in western Alberta, Canada.

| No. <br> Site | No of killed <br> pine trees <br> (ha) | Percent <br> Mortality (\%) | Diameter of Trees at Breast Height (cm) <br> Mean (SE) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 600 | 82.76 | $28.74(0.70)$ | NA | $22.90(1.92)$ | $26.33(1.03)$ |
| 1 | 600 | Healthy | Declining | Survived |  |  |
| 2 | 287.5 | 76.67 | $30.27(0.89)$ | $29.70(0.00)$ | $27.40(1.46)$ | $23.80(0.07)$ |
| 3 | 162.5 | 68.42 | $24.30(2.26)$ | $38.10(2.76)$ | NA | $23.05(1.32)$ |
| 4 | 162.5 | 68.42 | $33.40(2.33)$ | $43.60(0.00)$ | NA | $30.22(2.60)$ |
| 5 | 212.5 | 65.38 | $22.45(0.89)$ | $23.68(1.54)$ | NA | NA |
| 6 | 312.5 | 60.98 | $31.96(1.02)$ | $21.70(0.00)$ | $23.78(1.25)$ | $27.59(1.86)$ |
| 7 | 312.5 | 60.98 | $23.55(0.55)$ | $19.62(1.19)$ | $20.70(1.72)$ | $20.00(0.00)$ |
| 8 | 312.5 | 59.52 | $21.87(0.74)$ | $20.26(0.44)$ | $19.46(0.84)$ | $17.90(1.91)$ |
| 9 | 162.5 | 54.17 | $26.60(1.33)$ | NA | $28.40(0.00)$ | $26.60(1.21)$ |
| 10 | 362.5 | 50.88 | $22.48(0.69)$ | $19.45(1.16)$ | $19.24(0.63)$ | $18.75(0.91)$ |
| 11 | 300 | 50.00 | $19.20(0.63)$ | $18.70(0.00)$ | $17.50(0.76)$ | $17.91(0.76)$ |
| 12 | 87.5 | 46.67 | $24.63(2.62)$ | $22.30(0.00)$ | NA | $24.93(1.59)$ |
| 13 | 87.5 | 46.67 | $26.71(1.97)$ | NA | NA | $25.83(1.23)$ |
| 14 | 125 | 45.45 | $36.18(1.82)$ | $26.15(0.81)$ | $23.41(1.47)$ | $35.93(3.40)$ |
| 15 | 400 | 43.24 | $20.66(0.73)$ | $20.02(0.67)$ | $18.97(0.50)$ | NA |
| 16 | 237.5 | 43.18 | $20.19(0.88)$ | $18.31(0.89)$ | $17.65(0.43)$ | $19.15(1.52)$ |
| 17 | 250 | 41.67 | $20.52(0.74)$ | $16.25(0.81)$ | $19.15(0.89)$ | $18.65(0.50)$ |
| 18 | 150 | 41.38 | $30.54(1.53)$ | $32.00(0.00)$ | $26.80(4.74)$ | $25.94(1.29)$ |
| 19 | 200 | 39.02 | $17.79(0.52)$ | $18.27(0.84)$ | $17.20(0.46)$ | $15.90(0.00)$ |
| 20 | 175 | 25.93 | $22.85(1.23)$ | $21.49(0.52)$ | $21.68(1.12)$ | $22.41(1.32)$ |
| 21 | 75 | 24.00 | $34.93(2.62)$ | $20.30(1.91)$ | $32.44(3.08)$ | $25.07(1.08)$ |
| 22 | 100 | 19.05 | $19.81(0.90)$ | $19.21(0.64)$ | $19.37(0.62)$ | $20.50(1.56)$ |
| 23 | 137.5 | 16.67 | $23.62(1.78)$ | $22.55(0.66)$ | $20.04(0.53)$ | $25.61(1.52)$ |
| 24 | 100 | 16.33 | $25.51(1.00)$ | $23.30(1.35)$ | $21.19(0.53)$ | NA |
| 25 | 87.5 | 14.29 | $27.11(1.80)$ | $23.69(0.92)$ | $23.32(0.88)$ | $25.50(1.56)$ |
| 26 | 25 | 14.29 | $40.90(2.26)$ | $34.60(0.00)$ | $18.00(0.00)$ | $27.20(4.31)$ |
| 27 | 100 | 13.79 | $22.33(1.10)$ | $21.26(1.77)$ | $20.11(0.73)$ | $21.67(0.69)$ |
| 28 | 25 | 12.50 | $32.05(6.54)$ | $34.25(4.12)$ | $42.20(0.00)$ | $36.38(1.94)$ |
| 29 | 50 | 11.76 | $30.38(1.73)$ | $28.07(2.76)$ | $24.42(0.87)$ | $26.27(1.91)$ |
| 30 | 12.5 | 6.67 | $16.50(0.00)$ | $20.03(1.49)$ | $18.05(1.26)$ | $24.65(1.59)$ |
| 31 | 12.5 | 2.22 | $16.40(0.00)$ | $17.20(0.43)$ | $17.78(0.57)$ | $18.43(1.44)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Density of beetle-killed trees (ha) was standardized by the density of killed pine trees in each
site. Percent mortality of trees was calculated based on the density of dead pines and the density
of all (dead or live) pine trees in each site. Healthy pine trees do not show any symptoms of
pathogen or insect attacks; declining pine trees show presence of insect, other than $D$. ponderosae, and pathogen attacks, along with dying branches, bark lesions, sparse crown, yellow-red needles; survived pine trees show symptoms of unsuccessful D. ponderosae attacks but appeared healthy.

Table S3 Correlation among growth and resin duct characteristics of residual Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees over 3, 5 , and 10 years before Dendroctonus ponderosae outbreak and trees killed by D. ponderosae over 3, 5 , and 10 years before tree death in post-outbreak stands in Alberta.

|  | 3-year measurement |  |  |  |  |  | 5-year measurement |  |  |  |  |  | 10-year measurement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RW | RDP | RDA | RDS | RDD | RRDA | RW | RDP | RDA | RDS | RDD | RRDA | RW | RDP | RDA | RDS | RDD |
| 3-year measurement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDP | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDS | $\underset{* * *}{0.34}$ | 0.09 | $\underset{* * *}{0.39}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDD | $\begin{gathered} -0.38 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.29$ | 0.05 | $\begin{gathered} -0.20 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RRDA | $\begin{gathered} -0.38 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.26$ | $\underset{*}{0.12}$ | -0.02 | $0.91$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year measurement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RW | $0.99$ | $0.43$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.57}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.34}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.36 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.36 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDP | $\begin{gathered} 0.43 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | $\underset{* *}{0.23}$ | $0.20$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.46}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $0.94$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.05 | $\underset{* * *}{0.60}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDS | $0.40$ | 0.06 | $\underset{* * *}{0.38}$ | $0.90$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.27 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | -0.10 | $\underset{* * *}{0.40}$ | 0.06 | $0.39$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDD | $\begin{gathered} -0.40 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.19$ | -0.02 | $\begin{gathered} -0.23 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.92$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.81}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.40 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.24$ | 0.00 | $\begin{gathered} -0.29 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RRDA | $\begin{gathered} -0.41 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.18}$ | 0.04 | -0.07 | $\underset{* * *}{0.83}$ | $0.90$ | $-0.40$ | $0.23$ | 0.07 | $\underset{*}{-0.11}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-year measurement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RW | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.55}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.34}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.32 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.32 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.96}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.60}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.35 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.35 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| RDP | $0.41$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.87}$ | $0.74$ | 0.05 | $\underset{* * *}{0.20}$ | $\underset{* *}{0.17}$ | $0.45$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.81}$ | 0.05 | $\underset{*}{0.22}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.21}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.48}$ |  |  |  |  |
| RDA | $\begin{gathered} 0.54 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.75$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.88 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.31}$ | 0.01 | 0.05 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.95}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | 0.07 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.60 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $0.86$ |  |  |  |
| RDS | $0.39$ | 0.08 | $0.38$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.80}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.27 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | -0.11 | $0.41$ | 0.08 | $0.40$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.29 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{*}{-0.12}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.43 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | 0.09 | $0.41$ |  |  |
| RDD | $\begin{gathered} -0.42 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{* *}{0.14}$ | -0.05 | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.83}$ | $0.75$ | $\stackrel{-0.42}{\stackrel{*}{*}}$ | $\underset{* *}{0.17}$ | -0.04 | $\begin{gathered} -0.33 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $0.89$ | $0.82$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.41 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | $\begin{gathered} -0.32 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ |  |
| RRDA | $\begin{gathered} -0.40 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | 0.10 | -0.01 | -0.09 | $0.68$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.77}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.40 \\ * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{*}{0.13}$ | 0.00 | $\underset{* *}{-0.17}$ | $0.73$ | $0.85$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.39 \\ * * * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{* *}{0.17}$ | 0.06 | $\underset{*}{-0.13}$ | $\underset{* * *}{0.89}$ |

Pearson correlation coefficient was given to represent the correlation between two variables. Significant correlations indicated by *P $<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<$ $0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001$ based on Pearson correlation test. RW: ring width $\left(\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$; RDP: resin duct production (no. $\left.\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$; RDA: resin duct area ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RDS: resin duct size $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$; RDD: resin duct density (no. $\mathrm{mm}^{-2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RRDA: relative resin duct area $\left(\% \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$.


Figure S1. Geographic distribution of sites sampled for increment cores and wedges taken from Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees. Some sites were clustered together due to short distance between them; thus, the number of sites shown on the map does not reflect the actual number of sites sampled. Resource of base map was from personal files in Cullingham et al. 2012.


Figure S2. Annual number of sampled Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees killed by Dendroctonus ponderosae in selected study sites from 2006 to 2016 . The total number of trees killed was 140. The death year of each sampled tree was determined by visual crossdating. The majority of trees (89\%) were killed between 2006 and 2011.


Figure S3 Results of principle component analysis (PCA) among healthy, declining, survived and mountain pine beetle (Dendroctonus ponderosae, MPB)-killed Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees in terms of the resin duct characteristics and ring width. Healthy trees are represented as red triangles, declining trees as green $\times$, survived trees as gray circles, and MPB-killed trees as blue + . Vectors indicate the strength and directional influence of resin duct characteristics and growth under deducted dimensions. RW: ring width ( $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RDP: resin duct production (no. $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RDD: resin duct density (no. $\mathrm{mm}^{-2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RRDA: relative resin duct area ( $\% \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ); RDA: total resin duct area $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$; RDS: resin duct size $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$. 3 Y : mean of resin duct and growth characteristics in 3-year pre-outbreak growth period; 5 Y : mean of resin duct and growth
characteristics in 5-year pre-outbreak growth period; 10Y: mean of resin duct and growth characteristics in 10-year pre-outbreak growth period.


Figure S4. Tree diameter at breast height of mountain pine beetle (MPB, Dendroctonus ponderosae)-killed and residual Pinus contorta var. latifolia trees with bark attached in postoutbreak stands. Significant differences among the two categories of trees were indicated by different letters based on the results of linear mixed-effects models $(\alpha=0.05)$. In each box plot, the heavy line is the median, the $\times$ mark indicates the mean, the box represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartiles, whiskers are Tukey's 1.5 interquartile range, and the circles indicate outliers.

