

(1) Collection and summary of descriptive data using PE tools (4 themes)

Planned: 25 CI, 5 stakeholders, (250 participants)

Actual: 26 CI, 5 stakeholders, (198 participants)

- (a) Characterise diseases in poultry farms, and describe farmers' strategies to prevent and control them
- (b) Identify the timing of AMU in relation to the amounts used
- (c) Identify sources of advice and procurement of antimicrobials to farmers
- (d) Identify opinions about positive and negative aspects of AMU



(2) Generation of statements

46 statements, 4 thematic areas

- (a) Farmers' reliance on antimicrobials to prevent and treat disease
- (b) Logistics of AMU in the field
- (c) Costs of antimicrobials
- (d) Impact of AMU on animal productivity and AMR.



(3) Participant selection

(60 participants)

Maximise diversity (based on type of production, gender, age, experience, level of education)



(4) Individual interviews for Q-sorting

(60 participants)

Ranking of statements according to level of agreement/disagreement



(5) Q-sorting analysis

(54 participants, 28 farmers and 26 advisors)

Exclude individuals providing incomplete data (6 participants)